

REMARKS

In the Communication, the Examiner alleged that the Amendment that was filed on January 12, 2005, was not fully responsive. Specifically, the Examiner alleged that “Applicant has not amended the Brief Description of the Drawings to include descriptions of the submitted new drawings”

Applicants respectfully assert that the Examiner is incorrect, because the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification has been amended to include descriptions of new Figures 47 and 48. Specifically, in the Amendment submitted on September 28, 2004 (September Amendment), applicants added to the specification the following paragraph after line 1, page 10: “Figure 47 depicts a flow chart of a system according to certain embodiments.” See September Amendment at page 3. Also, in the Amendment submitted on January 12, 2005 (January Amendment), applicants added to the specification the following paragraph at page 10 after the paragraph that was added in the September Amendment: “Figure 48 depicts exemplary data according to certain embodiments.” See January Amendment at page 3.

Accordingly, contrary to the Examiner’s contentions, the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification has been amended to include descriptions of new Figures 47 and 48. Thus, applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the erroneous Communication.


The undersigned called Examiner Ly on May 4, 2005, and informed him that the requested amendments had already been made. Examiner Ly requested that we file this response. Also, if the Examiner still finds any problems related to the new Figures, applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned at 650-849-6620 to discuss such issues rather than issue another Communication.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 4, 2005

By: 
M. Paul Barker
Reg. No. 32,013